



sian

SIAM Invited Address, JMM18, San Diego, CA Jan. 11, 2018 Tensor Decomposition: A Mathematical Tool for Data Analysis

Tamara G. Kolda Sandia National Labs, Livermore, CA www.kolda.net



Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology and Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International, Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA0003525.



Istration by Chris Brigma











Grey Ballard (Wake Forrest) & Casey Battaglino (Georgia Tech)



David Hong (Michigan) & Cliff Anderson-Bergman (Sandia)



Jed Duersch (Sandia)



Alex Williams (Stanford)



A Tensor is an *d*-Way Array



 d^{th} -order Tensor d > 3



Vector

d = 1



 3^{rd} -order Tensor d = 3



Tensor Decomposition: A Mathematical Tool for Data Analysis







Building Block for Decomposition: Rank-One Tensors = Vector Outer Products





Matrix Version (2-way)

Given two vectors:

 $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^m, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Their **outer product** is:

 $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{a} \circ \mathbf{b} \quad \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$

Each entry is given by:

 $x(i,j) = a(i) \, b(j)$



Tensor Version (3-way)

Given three vectors:

 $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^m, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^p$

Their **outer product** is:

 $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{a} \circ \mathbf{b} \circ \mathbf{c} \quad \in \mathbb{R}^{m imes n imes p}$

Each entry is given by:

$$x(i,j,k) = a(i) \, b(j) \, c(k)$$



Tensor Version (*d***-way)**

Given *d* vectors:

 $\mathbf{a}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_k}, \quad k = 1, \dots, d$

Their **outer product** is:

 $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{a}_1 \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{a}_d \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 imes \cdots imes n_d}$

Each entry is given by:

 $x(i_1,\ldots,i_d) = a_1(i_1)\cdots a_d(i_d)$

Visualizing gets weird... But the math is still fine!



Matrix Decomposition: Detecting Low-Rank Structure





Matrix Notation
$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{X} \approx \mathbf{M} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} \mathbf{a}_{\ell} \circ \mathbf{b}_{\ell} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^{T} = \llbracket \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rrbracket$$

Eerily powerful tool for modeling data! Google search for "low-rank structure" turns up 5,590,000 results, and Google Scholar yields 127,000 papers!

Sum of Squared Errors (SSE):

$$\sum_{ij} (x(i,j) - m(i,j))^2 = \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{M}\|_F^2$$

CP Tensor Factorization (3-way): Detecting low-rank 3-way structure





$$x(i,j,k) \approx m(i,j,k) = a(i,1)b(j,1)c(k,1) + a(i,2)b(j,2)c(k,2) + \dots + a(i,r)b(j,r)c(k,r)$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Tensor Notation} \Rightarrow \mathbf{X} \approx \mathbf{M} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\prime} \mathbf{a}_{\ell} \circ \mathbf{b}_{\ell} \circ \mathbf{c}_{\ell} = \llbracket \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C} \rrbracket \\ \textit{Factor Matrices} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Sum of Squared} \\ \text{Errors (SSE):} & \sum_{ijk} \left(x(i,j,k) - m(i,j,k) \right)^2 = \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{M}\|^2 \end{array}$$

Potentially an *even more* powerful tool for modeling data! But still new. Google search for "low-rank *tensor* structure" turns up only 550,000 results, and Google Scholar yields a mere 14,500 papers.

1/11/2018

Frank Lauren Hitchcock

MIT Professor

(1875 - 1957)

CP first invented in 1927

THE EXPRESSION OF A TENSOR OR A POLYADIC AS A SUM OF PRODUCTS

By FRANK L. HITCHCOCK

1. Addition and Multiplication.

Tensors are added by adding corresponding components. The product of a covariant tensor $A_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ of order p into a covariant tensor $B_{i_{\alpha+1}} \dots i_{\alpha+\alpha}$ of order q is defined by writing

> $A_{i_1...i_p}B_{i_p+1}...i_{p+q} = C_{i_1...i_{p+q}}$ (1)

where the product $C_{i_1 \cdots i_{p+q}}$ is a covariant tensor of order p+q. When no confusion results indices may be omitted giving AB = C

equivalent to the n^{p+q} equations (1). Boldface type is convenient for indicating that the letters do not denote merely numbers or scalars. Products of contravariant and of mixed tensors may be similarly defined.

A partial statement of the problem to be considered is as follows: to find under what conditions a given tensor can be expressed as a sum of products of assigned form. A more general statement of the problem will be given below.

2. Polyadic form of a tensor.

Any covariant tensor $A_{i_1..i_p}$ can be expressed as the sum of a finite number of tensors each of which is the product of p covariant vectors.

$$A_{i_{1}\cdots i_{p}} = \sum_{j=1}^{j=h} a_{lj, i_{1}} a_{2j, i_{2}} \cdots a_{pj, i_{p}}$$
(2)

where a_{1j, i}, etc., are a set of hp covariant vectors. When the indiccs $i_1 \cdot \cdot i_n$ can be omitted this may be written i = h

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j=1}} \mathbf{a}_{1j} \mathbf{a}_{2j} \cdot \cdot \mathbf{a}_{pj}. \quad (2$$

The right member is now identical in appearance with a Gibbs

F. L. Hitchcock, *The Expression of a Tensor or* a Polyadic as a Sum of Products, Journal of Mathematics and Physics, 1927

2. Polyadic form of a tensor.

Any covariant tensor $A_{i_1 \dots i_p}$ can be expressed as the sum of a finite number of tensors each of which is the product of p covariant vectors.

$$A_{i_{1}} \dots i_{p} = \sum_{j=1}^{j=h} a_{ij, i_{1}} a_{jj, i_{2}} \cdots a_{pj, i_{p}}$$
(2)

where $a_{ij,i}$, etc., are a set of hp covariant vectors. When the indices $i_1 \cdot i_p$ can be omitted this may be written

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{j=1}^{j=h} \mathbf{a}_{1j} \mathbf{a}_{2j} \cdot \cdot \mathbf{a}_{pj}.$$
(2a)

Sandia Nationa





 (1_{s})

CP Independently Reinvented (twice) in 1970

CANDECOMP: <u>Can</u>onical <u>Decomp</u>osition

PSYCHOMETRIKA-VOL. 35. NO. 3 SEPTEMBER, 1970

ANALYSIS OF INDIVIDUAL DIFFERENCES IN MULTIDIMEN-SIONAL SCALING VIA AN N-WAY GENERALIZATION OF "ECKART-YOUNG" DECOMPOSITION

J. DOUGLAS CARROLL AND JIH-JIE CHANG

BELL TELEPHONE LABORATORIES MURRAY HILL, NEW JERSEY

An individual differences model for multidimensional scaling is out-lined in which individuals are assumed differentially to weight the several much in which marriadies are assumed interestinary to weight the section dimensions of a common "hysychological space". A corresponding method of analyzing similarities data is proposed, involving a generalization of "Eckart-Young analysis" to decomposition is applied to a derived three-way tables. In the present case this decomposition is applied to a derived three-way tables of scalar products between stimuli for individuals. This analysis analysis yields a stimulus by dimensions coordinate matrix and a subjects by dimen-sions matrix of weights. This method is illustrated with data on auditory stimuli and on perception of nations

There has been an interest for some time in the question of dealing with individual differences among subjects in making similarity judgments on which a multidimensional scaling of stimuli is to be based. Kruskal [1968] and McGee [1968] have both incorporated different ways of dealing with individual differences into their scaling procedures. Tucker and Messick [1963] proposed an approach, which they called "Points of view analysis," which is probably the most widely used method for dealing with such individual differences. In this method, intercorrelations are first computed between subjects (based on their similarity judgments) and the resulting correlation matrix is factor analyzed to produce a subject space. One then looks for clusters of subjects in this subject space, and if such clusters are found, proceeds in one way or another to define "idealized" subjects corresponding to clusters. (The "idealized subject" for a given cluster may be defined, for example, by finding the pattern of similarity judgments corresponding to a hypothetical subject at the cluster centroid, by choosing the actual subject closest to that centroid, or, most simply, by averaging the similarity judgments for subjects in the given cluster.) The similarities for these "idealized subjects" are then, individually and independently, subjected to multidimensional scaling.

This approach has been criticized by a number of people, most recently by Ross [1966] (see Cliff, 1968, for a reply to Ross's criticism and a further discussion of the "idealized individuals" interpretation of "Points of view



(1939-2011) (1927 - 2007)

CP: CANDECOMP/PARAFAC

In 2000, Henk Kiers proposed this compromise name



2010: Pierre Comon, Lieven DeLathauwer, and others reverse-engineered CP, revising some of Hitchcock's terminology



Richard A. Harshman

Univ. Ontario

(1943 - 2008)

PARAFAC: <u>Parallel Fac</u>tors

Sandia National aboratories

NOTE: This manuscript was originally published in 1970 and is reproduced here to make it more accessible to interested scholars. The original reference is Harshman, R. A. (1970). Foundations of the PARAFAC procedure: Models and conditions for an "explanatory" multimodal factor analysis. UCLA Working Papers in Phonetics, 16, 1-84. (University Microfilms, Ann Arbor, Michigan, No. 10.085).

FOUNDATIONS OF THE PARAFAC PROCEDURE: MODELS AND CONDITIONS

FOR AN "EXPLANATORY" MULTIMODAL FACTOR ANALYSIS

Richard A. Harshman UCLA Working Papers in Phonetics December, 1970

Many thanks to the following persons for helping me learn about Jih-Jie Chang: Fan Chung, Ron Graham, Shen Lin (husband), May Chang (niece), Lili Bruer (daughter).



Example: CP for Mouse Neural Activity

A. H. Williams, T. H. Kim, F. Wang, S. Vyas, S. I. Ryu, K. V. Shenoy, M. Schnitzer, T. G. Kolda, S. Ganguli. Unsupervised Discovery of Demixed, Low-dimensional Neural Dynamics across Multiple Timescales through Tensor Components Analysis. bioRxiv, 2017. <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/211128</u>

New Devices Enable Measuring Multiple Neurons Simultaneously



One Trial





Williams et al., bioRxiv, 2017, DOI:10.1101/211128



Trials Vary Start Position and Strategies



- 600 Trials over 5 Days
- Start West or East
- Conditions Swap Twice
 - Always Turn South
 - ✤ Always Turn Right
 - Always Turn South



note different patterns on curtains



Williams et al., bioRxiv, 2017, DOI:10.1101/211128

































Randomized Least Squares for CP Decomposition

C. Battaglino, G. Ballard, T. G. Kolda. **A Practical Randomized CP Tensor Decomposition**. arXiv:1701.06600, 2017.

Fitting CP



$$\min_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B},\mathbf{C}} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{M}\|^2 \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{M} = [\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B},\mathbf{C}]$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B},\mathbf{C}} \sum_{ijk} \left(x_{ijk} - \sum_{\ell} a_{i\ell} b_{j\ell} c_{k\ell} \right)^2$$



- Rank (r) NP-Hard: Even best low-rank solution may not exist (Håstad 1990, Silva & Lim 2006, Hillar & Lim 2009)
- Not nested: Best rank-(r-1) factorization may not be part of best rank-r factorization (Kolda 2001)
- Not orthogonal: Factor matrices are not orthogonal and may even have linearly dependent columns
- Essentially Unique: Under modest conditions, CP is unique up to permutation and scaling (Kruskal 1977)



Fitting CP: Alternating Least Squares



$$\min_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B},\mathbf{C}} \|\mathbf{\mathcal{X}} - \mathbf{\mathcal{M}}\|^2 \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{\mathcal{M}} = [\![\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B},\mathbf{C}]\!]$$
$$\prod_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B},\mathbf{C}} \sum_{ijk} \left(x_{ijk} - \sum_{\ell} a_{i\ell} b_{j\ell} c_{k\ell} \right)^2$$

Repeat until convergence:

Step 1:
$$\min_{\mathbf{A}} \sum_{ijk} \left(x_{ijk} - \sum_{\ell} a_{i\ell} b_{j\ell} c_{k\ell} \right)^2$$

Step 2:
$$\min_{\mathbf{B}} \sum_{ijk} \left(x_{ijk} - \sum_{\ell} a_{i\ell} b_{j\ell} c_{k\ell} \right)^2$$

Step 3:
$$\min_{\mathbf{C}} \sum_{ijk} \left(x_{ijk} - \sum_{\ell} a_{i\ell} b_{j\ell} c_{k\ell} \right)^2$$

Nonconvex problem with convex subproblems.

Solving the Least Squares Problem



$$\min_{\mathbf{A}} \sum_{ijk} \left(x_{ijk} - \sum_{\ell} a_{i\ell} b_{j\ell} c_{k\ell} \right)^2 \qquad \min_{\mathbf{A}} \| \mathbf{X}_{(1)} - \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{C} \odot \mathbf{B})' \|_F^2$$



Short & Very Wide Matrix

CPRAND: Randomized Matrix Least Squares Subproblem



CPRAND-MIX: Apply fast Johnson-Lindenstrauss Transform to mix the data in each direction to ensure "incoherence" – introduces some preprocessing cost

Battaglino, Ballard, Kolda, A Practical Randomized CP Tensor Decomposition, Jan 2017, arXiv:1701.06600

Sandia National Laboratories



Convergence Check Become the Bottleneck!



Randomizing the Convergence Check

$$F(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}) = \sum_{ijk} \left(x_{ijk} - \sum_{\ell} a_{i\ell} b_{j\ell} c_{k\ell} \right)^2$$



Estimate convergence of function values using small random subset of elements in function evaluation (use Chernoff-Hoeffding to bound accuracy)

$$\hat{F}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}) = \omega \sum_{ijk\in\Omega} \left(x_{ijk} - \sum_{\ell} a_{i\ell} b_{j\ell} c_{k\ell} \right)^2$$

16000 samples < 1% of full data

Sandia National Laboratories

$$\frac{|F - \hat{F}|}{|F|} < 10^{-3}$$



Battaglino, Ballard, & Kolda 2017



Application to Hazardous Gas Dataset

71 Sensors \times 5000 Timepoints \times 5 Temperatures \times 140 Experiments \approx 2 GB



A. Vergara, J. Fonollosa, J. Mahiques, M. Trincavelli, N. Rulkov and R. Huerta, *On the performance of gas sensor arrays in open sampling systems using Inhibitory Support Vector Machines*, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 2013, <u>doi:10.1016/j.snb.2013.05.027</u>



Factors from Gas Dataset



Kolda - SIAM Invited Address @ JMM18



Factors from Gas Dataset



Kolda - SIAM Invited Address @ JMM18

Viz of Experiment Factor Matrix Using PCA Projection

Sandia National Laboratories



Experiments (140) projected onto 2D space using PCA





Generalized CP Decomposition

Cliff Anderson-Bergman, J. Duersch, D. Hong, T. G. Kolda, **Generalized Canonical Polyadic Tensor Decomposition**, 2018 (coming soon)



s R

Generalizing the Goodness-of-Fit Criteria



Anderson-Bergman, Duersch, Hong, Kolda 2017

"Standard" CP via Maximum Likelihood



Typically: Consider data to be low-rank plus "white noise"

$$x_{ijk} = m_{ijk} + \epsilon_{ijk}, \quad \epsilon_{ijk} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma)$$

Equivalently, Gaussian with mean m_{ijk}

 $x_{ijk} \sim \mathcal{N}(m_{ijk}, \sigma)$

Gaussian Probability
Density Function (PDF)
$$\frac{e^{-(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}$$

Minimize negative log likelihood with $\mu_{ijk} = m_{ijk}$ and σ constant for all entries:

$$-\log(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})) = \sum_{ijk} \frac{(x_{ijk} - m_{ijk})^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\min F(\mathbf{M}) = \sum_{ijk} (x_{ijk} - m_{ijk})^2$$

Anderson-Bergman, Duersch, Hong, Kolda 2017

Probability Distribution Function: Normal-distributed with constant σ

Sandia National

Laboratories



"Rayleigh CP" with Linear Link





What if the data is nonnegative $(x_{ijk} \ge 0)$?

Assume data is Rayleigh-distributed.

 $x_{ijk} \sim \text{Rayleigh}(m_{ijk})$

Requires $m_{ijk} \ge 0$



Probability Distribution Function: Rayleigh-distributed

$$\min F(\mathbf{M}) = \sum_{ijk} 2\log m_{ijk} + \frac{x_{ijk}^2}{2m_{ijk}^2} \qquad \mathbb{E}(x_{ijk}) = m_{ijk}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}$$

"Boolean CP" with Odds Link







What if data is binary $(x_{ijk} \in \{0,1\})$?

 m_{ijk} = odds ratio of $x_{ijk} = 1$.

 $x_{ijk} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(m_{ijk}/(1+m_{ijk}))$

$$\mathbb{E}(x_{ijk}) = \frac{m_{ijk}}{1 + m_{ijk}}$$

Requires $m_{ijk} \ge 0$

Random Coin Flip: Probability versus Odds
$$p \in [0,1]$$
: probability of 1 $r \ge 0$: odds ratio of 1 $r = \frac{p}{1-p} \Leftrightarrow p = \frac{r}{1+r}$ Probability MassDistribution (PMF) $p^{x}(1-p)^{1-x} \Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{r}{1+r}\right)^{x} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^{1-x}$

$$\min F(\mathbf{M}) = \sum_{ijk} \log(m_{ijk} + 1) - x_{ijk} \log m_{ijk}$$

1/11/2018

Kolda - SIAM Invited Address @ JMM18

Generalized CP

 $\min_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B},\mathbf{C}} \sum_{ijk\in\Omega} f(x_{ijk}, m_{ijk}) \quad \text{s.t. } \mathbf{M} = \llbracket \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C} \rrbracket$

Standard $(x, m \in \mathbb{R})$: $f(x, m) = (x - m)^2$

Rayleigh $(x, m \in \mathbb{R}_+)$: $f(x, m) = 2 \log(m) + x^2/(2m^2)$

Boolean-Odds ($x \in [0,1], m \in \mathbb{R}_+$): $f(x,m) = \log(m+1) - x \log(m)$

Poisson ($x \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{R}_+$): $f(x, m) = m - x \log(m)$

Similar ideas have been proposed in matrix world, e.g., Collins, Dasgupta, Schapire 2002



Algorithm Notes

- Can be solved via alternating or all-atonce optimization
 - ✓ Fewer knobs to tweak for all-at-once
 - ✓ Prefer all-at-once if any data is missing
- Gradient has an elegant form

✓ Involves "MTTKRP"

- Missing data is handled by omitting from the sum in the objective function
 - ✓ Introduces sparsity into the gradient computation
- Large-scale problems requires stochastic approach
 - ✓ Stratification needed for sparse problems

Chi & Kolda 2012; Anderson-Bergman, Duersch, Hong, Kolda 2017

Mouse Data using Rayleigh (Nonneg)



Sandia National

aboratories



Gas Data Using Rayleigh



1/11/2018

Kolda - SIAM Invited Address @ JMM18





A Sparse Binary Dataset

- UC Irvine Chat Network
 - 4-way binary tensor
 - Sender (211)
 - Receiver (211)
 - Hour of Day (24)
 - Day (196)
 - 14,849 nonzeros (very sparse)
- Goodness-of-fit (Boolean-odds):

 $f(x,m) = \log(m+1) - x\log m$

 Use GCP to compute rank-12 decomposition



Opsahl, T., Panzarasa, P., 2009. Clustering in weighted networks. Social Networks 31 (2), 155-163, doi: 10.1016/j.socnet.2009.02.002



Binary Chat Data using Boolean CP





SIAM Journal on Mathematics of Data Science

• New journal, launching in Spring 2018

Focus

 Role of applied mathematics in data science, as complemented and intertwined with other key areas: statistics, computer science, network science, signal processing, etc.

Editor in chief: Tamara G. Kolda, Sandia

Section editors

- Alfred Hero, Michigan
- Michel Jordan, Berkeley
- Robert Nowak, Wisconsin
- Joel Tropp, CalTech



CP Tensor Decomposition & Data Analysis





- CP Tensor Decomposition is a key tool for data analysis
 - Latent factor analysis
 - Dimensionality reduction
- Randomized methods enable scaling
 - Initial evidence for increased robustness in global optimization
 - Many, many algorithm and implementation details
- Flexible data type via Generalized CP
 - Nonnegative, Boolean, Poisson data
- Many open math problems remain!
- Links
 - Tensor Toolbox for MATLAB: <u>www.tensortoolbox.org</u>
 - Parallel CP and GCP implementations: <u>https://gitlab.com/tensors/genten</u>
 - My web page: <u>www.kolda.net</u>

Thanks to SIAM for the invitation to speak and to YOU, the audience, for your attention!